

Effect of Intranasal Sedation Using Ketamine and Midazolam on Behavior of 3-6 Year-Old Uncooperative Children in Dental Office: A Clinical Trial

Majid Mehran¹, Sara Tavassoli-Hojjati², Nazila Ameli³, Mehdi Salehi Zeinabadi^{4*}

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Islamic Azad University, Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran

³ Assistant Professor, Orthodontic Department, School of Dentistry, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

⁴ Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

Abstract

Objectives: The aim of the present study was to compare the effects of intranasal ketamine and midazolam on behavior of 3-6 year-old children during dental treatments.

Materials and Methods: In this randomized cross-over clinical trial, 17 uncooperative children requiring at least two dental treatments were selected and randomly received ketamine (0.5mg/kg) or midazolam (0.2mg/kg) prior to treatment. The other medication was used in the next visit. The children's behavioral pattern was determined according to the Houpt's scale regarding sleep, movement, crying and overall behavior. Physiological parameters were also measured at different time intervals. The data were subjected to Wilcoxon Signed Rank test and two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

Results: The frequency of crying decreased significantly following ketamine administration compared to midazolam ($P=0.002$); movement of children decreased with fewer incidence of treatment interruption ($P=0.001$) while their sleepiness increased ($P=0.003$). Despite higher success of sedation with ketamine compared to midazolam, no significant differences were found between the two regarding patients' overall behavior ($P>0.05$). The patients had higher heart rate and blood pressure with ketamine; however, no significant difference was found regarding respiratory rate and oxygen saturation ($P>0.05$).

Conclusions: Ketamine (0.5mg/kg) led to fewer movements, less crying and more sleepiness compared to midazolam (0.2mg/kg). No significant differences were found between the two drugs regarding children's overall behavior and sedation efficiency. Both drugs demonstrated positive efficacy for sedation of children during dental treatments.

Keywords: Conscious Sedation; Ketamine; Midazolam; Administration, Intranasal
Journal of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (2017; Vol. 14, No.1)

*Corresponding author:

M. Salehi Zeinabadi, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

mehdi_s85@ymail.com

Received: 20 March 2016

Accepted: 27 September 2016

INTRODUCTION

Dental procedures, particularly local anesthesia, induce emotional stress in children, and may leave a negative impact on them [1]. Preoperative stress increases the heart rate and blood pressure due to stimulation of sympathetic, parasympathetic and endocrine systems [2]. Thus, different psychological and pharmacological methods have been introduced to decrease anxiety in children [3,4].

Premedication with safe drugs can minimize anxiety. An ideal premedication should have minimal complications, rapid onset and recovery and high acceptance by patients [5,6]. Intranasal

administration of sedative drugs has been suggested as a fast, painless and noninvasive route, which has about the same onset of action as intravenous administration of drugs [7]. Midazolam and ketamine cause rapid sedation. Midazolam is an imidazobenzodiazepine, which is widely used orally and rectally in uncooperative preschool children [8-10]. Ketamine hydrochloride also has a rapid onset of action and produces well-documented anesthesia [11,12]. It has been hypothesized that preoperative sedation would be more effective in reducing children's anxiety during local anesthesia compared to the presence of parents

[13].

The literature describing the effectiveness and safety of intranasal administration of sedatives and analgesics in children has grown substantially over the past decade; however, controversy exists regarding the probable superiority of ketamine or midazolam over each other [14].

Considering the necessity of anxiety reduction before dental procedures and the great diversity in data regarding the sedative agents, the aim of the present study was to compare the sedative properties of intranasally administered midazolam with ketamine in uncooperative 3-6 year-old children.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Shahed Dental School (code: 628). In this cross-over, double-blind clinical trial, 17 uncooperative [15,16] 3-6 year-old children with ASA I physical status and scale II according to the Frankl category (reluctant to accept treatment and evidence of negative attitudes) [17] were selected from the Pediatric Department of Shahed Dental School. Parents were informed about the procedure and signed informed consent forms. This clinical trial was registered in www.irct.ir (code: 16913). Selected children showed negative attitude according to the Frankel's category and at least one dentist confirmed that they were uncooperative. They required at least two identical dental treatments including pulpotomy and restoration/stainless steel crown placement following local anesthesia. Children with upper airway infection or cognitive impairment were excluded.

After obtaining a thorough history, children were randomly assigned to receive one of the two drugs intranasally. They either received 0.2 mg/kg midazolam (Chemidaru Industrial Company, Tehran, Iran) or 0.5 mg/kg ketamine (Chemidaru Industrial Company, Tehran, Iran) in the first treatment session. In the second

treatment session, scheduled with a window period of at least one week, the drugs were switched. Patients visited on odd days received midazolam while those starting treatment on even days received ketamine. Dental treatment in all patients included pulpotomy and stainless steel crown placement following local anesthesia with 2% lidocaine (Pastur-Industrial Company, Tehran, Iran) with 1:100,000 epinephrine (Aburaihan Industrial Company, Tehran, Iran) in one of their lower quadrants.

A minimum of 6 hours [18] of NPO was suggested. All vital signs including heart rate, oxygen saturation, blood pressure and respiratory rate were recorded at baseline and monitored throughout the procedure.

A scoring system described by Houpt et al, [19] was applied for assessment of sedation. This system is comprised of the following scales:

- 1- Sleep scale
- 2- Crying scale
- 3- Movement scale
- 4- Overall scale

The level of sedation and emotional reactions including calmness and crying were estimated at baseline, during administration of anesthesia (10 minutes after administration of sedative agent) and at 5-minute intervals, 15 minutes after local anesthesia administration and at the discharge time. Moreover, parents' experience of the procedure and side effects were questioned using a questionnaire. Physiological parameters were recorded at baseline, before sedation (T0), during administration of anesthesia (10 minutes after administration of sedative agent) (T1), 5 and 15 minutes after local anesthesia (T2 and T3) and at the discharge time (T4).

The effectiveness of sedation (Houpt's scale) caused by the two medications at each time point was compared using Wilcoxon Signed Rank test while two-way repeated measures ANOVA was utilized to compare physiological parameters. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA)

considering $P < 0.05$ to be significant.

RESULTS

Seventeen children (nine males and eight females) with a mean age of 4.5 ± 0.9 years were studied. The mean weight of children was 16.2 ± 3.6 kg (range 10.5-24 kg).

Heart rate and blood pressure significantly increased following ketamine administration at all time points.

Sleep scale: More fully awake children were found following midazolam sedation (64.7%) as compared to ketamine (0) at the time of local anesthesia administration ($P = 0.003$). While, during restorative treatment and at the discharge time, this difference was not significant (Table 1).

Crying: In most children in both visits, the crying score was recorded as intermittent or no crying (Table 2). Chi square test showed that except for the time of anesthesia administration ($P = 0.002$), the differences in crying score were not significant between the two medications.

Movement: In most children, movement did not lead to interruption of dental treatment although a significant difference was observed at the time of local anesthesia administration (Table 3).

During local anesthesia administration, the children sedated with intranasal midazolam demonstrated significantly more movement ($P = 0.001$) than those sedated with ketamine.

Overall behavior: Most children exhibited good or very good behavior in both visits with just one poor behavior 15 minutes after restorative treatment (Table 4). Although ketamine sedation resulted in more favorable behavior, no significant differences were observed between two dental visits ($P > 0.05$). Perioperative side effects including oxygen desaturation ($SpO_2 < 90\%$), disruptive movement, nausea, vomiting and nasal discomfort were also noted. The most prevalent side effects of nasal administration of midazolam and ketamine were found to be nasal discomfort (38.2%) and vomiting (35.3%), respectively.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to compare the sedative properties of intranasal administration of midazolam and ketamine in uncooperative 3-6 year-old children. It is well-known that preoperative anxiety in children would result in subsequent behavioral problems and consequences such as bad dreams [20].

Table 1. Sleep scores following ketamine/midazolam administration at different time points

Drug	Time point								
	Local anesthesia administration			5 minutes after anesthesia administration			15 minutes after anesthesia administration		
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Ketamine	0	88.2%	11.8%	5.9%	82.4%	11.8%	64.7%	29.4%	5.9%
Midazolam	64.7%	29.4%	5.9%	11.8%	88.2%	0	35.3%	58.8%	5.9%
P-value	0.003			0.180			0.157		

Table 2. Crying scores following ketamine/midazolam administration at different time points

Drug	Time point											
	Local anesthesia administration				5 minutes after anesthesia administration				15 minutes after anesthesia administration			
	1	2	3	4	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Ketamine	0	5.9%	29.4%	64.7%	11.8%	41.2%	47.1%	0	23.5%	58.8%	17.6%	
Midazolam	5.9%	29.4%	58.8%	5.9%	5.9%	76.5%	17.6%	5.9%	17.6%	41.2%	35.3%	
P-value	0.002				0.166				0.660			

Table 3. Movement scores following ketamine/midazolam administration at different time points

Drug	Time point	Local anesthesia administration			5 minutes after anesthesia administration			15 minutes after anesthesia administration		
		2	3	4	2	3	4	2	3	4
Ketamine		5.9%	76.5%	17.6%	5.9%	29.4%	52.9%	5.9%	47.1%	47.1%
Midazolam		70.6%	29.4%	0	0	52.9%	35.3%	11.8%	17.6%	70.6%
P-value		0.001			1.00			0.414		

Intranasal drug administration is a relatively new route of drug delivery and has been reported to produce safe, effective and rapid sedation [21]. The present study showed that both ketamine and midazolam intranasal administration produced acceptable sedation with equal effects. We did not compare the drugs with placebo as it has been reported that they are superior to placebo [22-24]. The dosage of ketamine used in our study was 0.5 mg/kg, since Hosseini Jahromi et al, [25] suggested that increasing the dose of intranasal ketamine would result in less sedation and a low dose of 0.5mg/kg might be appropriate with less side effects. Moreover, the dosage of applied midazolam was 0.2 mg/kg as Ozen et al, [26] reported that the highest success rate of sedation is observed following intranasal use of 0.2mg/kg midazolam followed by 0.75mg/kg orally.

Kazemi et al, [1] reported that 0.2mg/kg intranasal midazolam and 0.5mg/kg ketamine in 2-5 year-old children lead to easier separation of children from their parents, which is comparable to our findings. Similarly, Lightdale et al, [27] compared the sedative effects of ketamine and midazolam/fentanyl in children undergoing gastrointestinal endoscopy and reported that children sedated with ketamine showed about the same movement score as patients sedated with midazolam/fentanyl.

Conversely, Singh et al, [28] performed a study to compare the sedative effects of oral midazolam with other sedative agents in children and demonstrated that oral midazolam produced the best level of sedation. Bahetwar et al, [29] compared the sedative effects of ketamine, midazolam and their combination and concluded that the difference between the overall success rates of intranasal ketamine and midazolam is not statistically significant and both are effective and safe to induce moderate sedation for dental procedures in children. Differences in the design and protocols of drug administration could result in variable results. The most prevalent side effect of intranasal administration of midazolam was found to be nasal discomfort (38.2%), which is similar to the findings of Ljungman et al, [30] who reported a prevalence of 45%, which could even lead to sample dropout. On the other hand, the most common side effect following ketamine administration was vomiting (35.3%), which is consistent with the findings of Holloway et al, [31] who reported a 14% frequency of vomiting after intramuscular administration of ketamine. However, this postoperative side effect was transient. In our study, ketamine resulted in a significant increase in blood pressure and heart rate, which could be explained by the fact that it is a cardiopulmonary stimulant [32]. However, these changes did not lead to interruption of treatment.

Table 4. Overall scores following ketamine/midazolam administration at different time points

Drug	Time point	Local anesthesia administration				5 minutes after anesthesia administration				15 minutes after anesthesia administration			
		2	3	4	5	2	3	4	5	2	3	4	5
Ketamine		5.9%	17.6%	70.6%	5.9%	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%	29.4%	5.9%	23.5%	41.2%	29.4%
Midazolam		5.9%	58.8%	35.3%	0	0	35.3%	58.8%	5.9%	0	35.3%	58.8%	5.9%
P-value		0.052				0.464				0.564			

Conversely, Tanaka et al, [33] reported no significant difference in heart rate and blood pressure following rectal administration of ketamine and midazolam. This could be explained by more rapid and greater absorption of drugs through nasal route.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study demonstrated that adequate sedation is induced by both midazolam and ketamine. Ketamine administration produced marginally higher levels of blood pressure and heart rate.

REFERENCES

- 1- Kazemi AP, Kamalaipour H, Seddighi M. Comparison of intranasal midazolam versus ketamine as premedication in 2-5 years old pediatric surgery patients. *Pak J Med Sci* 2005;21(4):460-4.
- 2- Weissman C. The metabolic response to stress: an overview and update. *Anesthesiology*. 1990 Aug;73(2):308-27.
- 3- Srouji R, Ratnapalan S, Schneeweiss S. Pain in children: Assessment and nonpharmacological management. *Int J Pediatr*. 2010;2010. pii: 474838.
- 4- Wong C, Lau E, Palozzi L, Campbell F. Pain management in children: Part 1 – Pain assessment tools and a brief review of nonpharmacological and pharmacological treatment options. *Can Pharm J (Ott)*. 2012 Sep;145(5):222-5.
- 5- Kogan A, Katz J, Efrat R, Eidelman LA. Premedication with midazolam in young children: a comparison of four routes of administration. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2002 Oct;12(8):685-9.
- 6- Louon A, Reddy VG. Nasal midazolam and ketamine for pediatric sedation during computerized tomography. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1994 Apr;38(3):259-61.
- 7- Warrington SE, Kuhn RJ. Use of intranasal medications in pediatric patients. *Orthopedics*. 2011 Jun;34(6):456.
- 8- Tyagi P, Tyagi S, Jain A. Sedative effects of oral midazolam, intravenous midazolam and oral diazepam in the dental treatment of children. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2013 Spring;37(3):301-5.
- 9- Lokken P, Backstad OJ, Fonnelop E, Skogedal N, Hellsten K, Bjerkelund CE, et al. Conscious sedation by rectal administration of midazolam or midazolam plus ketamine as alternative to general anesthesia for dental treatment of uncooperative children. *Scand J Dent Res*. 1994 Oct;102(5):274-80.
- 10- Mathai A, Nazareth M, Raju RS. Preanesthetic sedation of preschool children: comparison of intranasal midazolam versus oral promethazine. *Anesth Essays Res*. 2011 Jan-Jun;5(1):67-71.
- 11- Damle SG, Gandhi M, Laheri V. Comparison of oral ketamine and oral midazolam as sedative agents in pediatric dentistry. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent*. 2008 Sep;26(3):97-101.
- 12- Alfonzo-Echeverri EC, Berg JH, Wild TW, Glass NL. Oral ketamine for pediatric outpatient dental surgery sedation. *Pediatr Dent*. 1993 May-Jun;15(3):182-5.
- 13- Rita L, Cox JM, Seleny FL, Tolentino RL. Ketamine hydrochloride for pediatric premedication. I. Comparison to pentazocine. *Anesth Analg*. 1974 May-Jun;53(3):375-9.
- 14- Abrams R, Morrison JE, Villasenor A, Hencmann D, Da Fonseca M, Mueller W. Safety and effectiveness of intranasal administration of sedative medications (ketamine, midazolam, or sufentanil) for urgent brief pediatric dental procedures. *Anesth Prog*. 1993;40(3):63-6.
- 15- Fukuta O, Bahram RL, Yanase H, Atsumi N, Kurosu K. The sedative effect of intranasal midazolam administration in the dental treatment of patients with mental disabilities. Part1. The effect of a 0.2 mg/kg dose. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 1993;17(4):231-7.
- 16- Fukuta O, Braham RL, Yanase H, Kurosu K. Intranasal administration of midazolam: pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties and sedative potential. *ASDC J Dent Child*. 1997 Mar-Apr;64(2):89-98.
- 17- Frankl SL, Shiere FR, Fogels HR. Should the parents remain with the child in the dental operatory? *J Dent Child*. 1962 Apr;29(2):150-62.
- 18- Coté CJ, Wilson S. Guidelines for monitoring and

- management of pediatric patients during and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures: an update. *Pediatrics*. 2006 Dec;118(6):2587-602.
- 19- Haupt MI, Weiss NJ, Koenigsberg SR, Desjardins PJ. Comparison of chloral hydrate with and without promethazine in the sedation of young children. *Pediatr Dent*. 1985 Mar;7(1):41-6.
- 20- Savage GH. Insanity following the use of anesthetics in operations. *BMJ* 1887 Dec;2(1405):1199.
- 21- Lam C, Udin RD, Malamed SF, Good DL, Forrest JL. Midazolam premedication in children: A pilot study comparing intramuscular and intranasal administration. *Anesth Prog*. 2005 Summer;52(2):56-61.
- 22- Cote CJ. Preoperative preparation and premedication. *Br J Anaesth*. 1999 Jul;83(1):16-28.
- 23- Malinovsky JM, Populaire C, Cozian A, Lepage JY, Lejus C, Pinaud M. Midazolam for premedication in children. Effect of intranasal, rectal and oral routes on plasma concentration. *Anaesthesia*. 1995 Apr;50(4):351-4.
- 24- Chakoshi AA, Patel VR, Chauhan PR, Patel DJ, Chandha IA, Ramani MN. Evaluation of intranasal midazolam spary as a sedative in pediatric patients for radiological imaging procedures. *Anesth Essays Res*. 2013 May-Aug;7(2):189-93.
- 25- Hosseini Jahromi SA, Hosseini Valami SM, Adeli N, Yazdi Z. Comparison of the effects of intranasal midazolam versus different doses of intranasal ketamine on reducing preoperative pediatric anxiety: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *J Anesth* 2012 Dec;26(6):878-82.
- 26- Ozen B, Malamed SF, Cetiner S, Ozalp N, Ozer L, Altun C. Outcomes of moderate sedation in pediatric dental patients. *Aust Dent J*. 2012 Jun;57(2):144-50.
- 27- Lightdale JR, Mitchell PD, Fredette ME, Mahoney LB, Zgleszewski SE, Scharff L, et al. A pilot study of ketamine versus midazolam/fentanyl sedation in children undergoing GI endoscopy. *Int J Pediatr*. 2011;2011:623710.
- 28- Singh N, Pandey RK, Saksena AK, Jaiswal JN. A comparative evaluation of oral midazolam with other sedatives as premedication in pediatric dentistry. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2002 Winter;26(2):161-4.
- 29- Bahetwar SK, Pandey RK, Saksena AK, Chandra G. A comparative evaluation of intranasal midazolam, ketamine and their combination for sedation of young uncooperative pediatric dental patients: a triple blind randomized crossover trial. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*. 2011 Summer;35(4):415-20.
- 30- Ljungman G, Kreuger A, Andreasson S, Gordh T, Sorensen S. Midazolam nasal spray reduces procedural anxiety in children. *Pediatrics*. 2000 Jan;105(1 Pt 1):73-8.
- 31- Holloway VJ, Husain HM, Saetta JP, Gautam V. Accident and emergency department led implementation of ketamine sedation in pediatric practice and parental response. *J Accid Emerg Med*. 2000 Jan;17(1):25-8.
- 32- Reinemer HC, Wilson CF, Webb MD. A comparison of two oral ketamine-diazepam regimens for sedating anxious pediatric dental patients. *Pediatr Dent*. 1996 Jul-Aug;18(4):294-300.
- 33- Tanaka M, Sato M, Saito A, Nishikawa T. Reevaluation of rectal ketamine premedication in children, comparison with rectal midazolam. *Anesthesiology*. 2000 Nov;93(5):1217-24.